PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

RECEIVED 07 FEB. 2005

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To:

BIRD, Ariane BIRD GOEN & CO KLEIN DALENSTRAAT 42A B-3020 Winksele BELGIQUE

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT**

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of mailing (day/month/year)

04.02.2005

Applicant's or agent's file reference

K2365-PCT

PCT/BE 03/00198

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International application No.

International filing date (day/month/year) 14.11.2003

Priority date (day/month/year)

14.11.2002

Applicant

K.U.LEUVEN RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT et al.

- 1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Authorized Officer

Nordkvist, L

Tel. +49 89 2399-7034





INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

		•					
Applicant's or agent's file reference K2365-PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)						
International application No. PCT/BE 03/00198	International filing date (day/mon	hth/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 14.11.2002					
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC B01F3/08							
	·						
Applicant K.U.LEUVEN RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT et al.							
This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.							
2. This REPORT consists of a total	2. This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet.						
been amended and are the	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).						
These annexes consist of a total	of 4 sheets.						
This report contains indications re	elating to the following items:						
I ⊠ Basis of the opinion							
II Priority							
		nventive step and industrial applicability					
IV Lack of unity of invent							
V 🖾 Reasoned statement of citations and explanate	under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regar ions supporting such statement	d to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;					
VI 🗆 Certain documents cit	ed						
VII Certain defects in the	international application						
VIII	on the international application						
		·					
Date of submission of the demand	Date of	completion of this report					
18.05.2004	04.02	.2005					
Name and mailing address of the internation	al Authori	zed Officer					
preliminary examining authority: European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 5236	56 epmu d Muller	r, G					
Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	Teleph	one No. +49 89 2399-2331					



INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT**

JC20 Rec'd PCT/PTO 12 MAY 2005

International application No.

PCT/BE 03/00198

1. With regard to the elements of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Des	Description, Pages				
	1-24	1	as originally filed			
	Clai	Claims, Numbers				
1-25 received on 21.12.2004 with letter of 16.12.2004			received on 21.12.2004 with latter of 16.12.2004			
			1666/ved 61/21.12.2004 Will letter 01 16.12.2004			
	Drawings, Sheets					
	1/4-	4/4	as originally filed			
2.	. With regard to the language , all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
	These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:					
\Box the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23						
		the language of publ	ication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).			
		the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3	nnslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under 3).			
3.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:					
	☐ contained in the international application in written form.					
	illed together with the international application in computer readable form.					
	☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.					
☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.						
		The statement that the international approximation of the international approximation of the statement of th	ne subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure pplication as filed has been furnished.			
		The statement that the listing has been furni	ne information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence ished.			
4.	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:					
		the description,	pages:			
		the claims,	Nos.:			
		the drawings,	sheets:			



International application No.

PCT/BE 03/00198

5.	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have
	been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

- 6. Additional observations, if necessary:
- V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- 1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: No:

Claims Claims 1-25

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-25

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-25

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following document:

D1: GB-A-1055436

The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 1, and shows (the references in parentheses applying to this document): an emulsifying method wherein a premix of two or more immiscible liquids is stirred and circulated through a magnetic field.

The subject-matter of claim 1 differs from this known method in that the linear flow rate of said liquids through the magnetic field is between 0.25 and 25m/s.

The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore new (Article 33(2) PCT).

The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as providing a process for making emulsions, which avoids the requirements of complex mechanical equipment, while resulting in a desirable droplet size, and having a good storage stability.

The solution to this problem proposed in claim 1 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons:

D1 does not suggest, and even teaches away from a linear flow for the substance to be emulsified. The linear flow allows the magnetic treatment to effectively perform droplet or micelle formation to a significant extend, in a short time.

Claims 2-25 are dependent on claim 1 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.

5

10

15

Bird Goën &

25

10/534677 16 December 2004 JC20 Rec'd PCT/PTO 12 MAY 2005

CLAIMS

- 1. An emulsification method comprising flowing, conducting or circulating a premix of two or more immiscible liquids through one or more magnetic fields under conditions to emulsify said pre-mix, wherein said pre-mix of two or more immiscible liquids is milk or comprises at least a hydrophilic liquid and at least a lipophilic liquid, wherein said lipophilic liquid is selected from the group consisting of edible oils, fats, fatty acids and esters thereof formed from a saturated or unsaturated linear or branched aliphatic alcohol having from 1 to 18 carbon atoms or from a saturated or unsaturated linear or branched aliphatic polyol having from 2 to 6 carbon atoms or from a polyethyleneglycol or polypropyleneglycol or methoxy polyethyleneglycol having a molecular weight up to 1,500; natural or synthetic, saturated, monounsaturated or polyunsaturated acids having from 8 to 24 carbon atoms and optionally one or more functional groups such as hydroxy or epoxy; lipids including mono- and polyacylglycerols, phosphoglycerides, sphingolipids, amino-amidines, and mixtures thereof, and wherein the linear flow rate of said liquids through each said magnetic field is between 0.25 and 25 m/s.
- 2. An emulsification method according to claim 1, wherein said hydrophilic liquid is an aqueous or nearly-aqueous phase.
 - An emulsification method according to claim 1 or claim 2, wherein said premix further comprises one or more viscosity regulators and / or one or more emulsifiers or emulsion stabilizers or surfactants.

25 🗀

- 4. An emulsification method according to any of claim 1 to 3, wherein said method is carried out continuously or intermittently.
- 5. An emulsification method according to any of claims 1 to 4, wherein said pre-mix further comprises solid particles suspended therein.
 - 6. An emulsification method according to any of claims 1 to 5, wherein the strength of each said magnetic field is at least about 2,000 gauss.

5

10

15

25

Bird Goën &





16 December 2004

- 7. An emulsification method according to claim 2, wherein the proportion of said lipophilic liquid in said pre-mix is within a range from 3 to 60% by weight.
- An emulsification method according to any of claims 1 to 7, wherein said premix of two or more immiscible liquids is re-circulated from 10 to 10,000 times through each said magnetic field.
- 9. An emulsification method according to any of claims 1 to 8, wherein the linear flow rate of said liquids through each said magnetic field is between 0.6 and 5 m/s.
- 10. A method according to any of claims 1 to 9, wherein the residence time of said fluid through each said magnetic field is between 60 microseconds and 10 seconds.
- 11. An emulsification method according to any of claims 1 to 10, wherein flowing said liquids through said magnetic field(s) is effected at a temperature between 10°C and 90°C.
- 20 12. An industrial process including an emulsification method according to any of claims 1 to 10 as a process step.
 - 13. An industrial process a ccording to claim 12, wherein said process further comprises one or more post-processing steps performed following the emulsification step.
 - 14. An industrial process according to claim 13, wherein said post-processing step is a heating step.
- 15. An industrial process according to claim 13, wherein said post-processing step is a cooling step.
 - 16. An industrial process according to claim 13, wherein said post-processing

5

10

20

30

Bird Goën &

27



16 December 2004

step is a drying step for at least partially removing the hydrophilic liquid present in the emulsification step.

- 17. An industrial process according to claim 13, wherein said post-processing step is a freeze-drying step.
- 18. An industrial process according to claim 13, wherein said post-processing step is a step of diluting the emulsion through the addition of a liquid into said emulsion.
- 19. An industrial process according to any of claims 12 to 18, wherein said process further comprises one or more steps of controlling the size of droplets or micelles produced during the emulsification step.
- 20. An industrial process according to claim 19, wherein said size controlling step is performed by dynamic light scattering analysis.
 - 21. An industrial process according to claim 20, wherein said process comprises a post-processing step performed following the emulsification step and further comprising one or more steps of controlling the size of emulsion droplets or micelles during or after said post-processing step.
- 22. An industrial process according to claim 21, wherein said size controlling step after said post-processing step is performed by dynamic light scattering analysis.
 - 23. An industrial process according to any of claims 19 to 22, wherein said size controlling step is performed in such a way as to measure the average size and/or the size distribution.
 - 24. An industrial process according to claim 13, wherein said post-processing step is a sonication step.

Bird Goën &

28

16 December 2004

25. An emulsification method according to any of claims 1 to 11, wherein said pre-mix of two or more immiscible liquids is milk, whereby the average size of the smallest micelles or particles contained in milk is decreased by at least 50 %.

5

10

15

20

25

30

35